



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/007,468	11/07/2001	Shinichi Shimomaki	01727/LH	2858

1933 7590 07/22/2004

FRISHAUF, HOLTZ, GOODMAN & CHICK, PC  
767 THIRD AVENUE  
25TH FLOOR  
NEW YORK, NY 10017-2023

EXAMINER

JORGENSEN, LELAND R

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2675

DATE MAILED: 07/22/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/007,468

Applicant(s)

SHIMOMAKI, SHINICHI

Examiner

Leland R. Jorgensen

Art Unit

2675

## All Participants:

(1) Leland R. Jorgensen.

(2) Leonard Holtz.

## Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_

(3) Marilyn Stults.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 21 July 2004

Time: 5:00 pm EST

## Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
If Yes, provide a brief description:

## Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:  
N/A

Claims discussed:  
N/A

Prior art documents discussed:  
N/A

## Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
*See Continuation Sheet*

## Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner Jorgensen phoned Leonard Holtz on 21 July 2004 and asked him if they had filed a response to the Office Action dated 14 January 2004. Holtz stated that a response had been filed 14 April 2004. Jorgensen requested a copy. The next morning (22 July 2004), Marilyn Stults phoned Jorgensen. Stults asked for the fax number. Jorgensen told her to fax a copy of the Response to 703-872-9306 and to 703-746-7425 (Examiner's rightfax number). Jorgensen recommended that they include the Auto-Reply Receipt. Stults did so and Jorgensen has a copy.